

Department of Finance Budget No. 8860

Report No.	Legislative Report Title—Brief Summary of Reporting Requirements	Admin. Justification for Proposed Elimination or Modification	Committee Staff Comments
1	Unanticipated financial consequences - Review of statutes enacted each year that contain provisions making inoperative Section 17561 or 17565 of the Government Code that have resulted in costs or revenue losses mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted or that may result in cost savings authorized by the state	Delete reporting requirement. Submitted 12/6/07 and 12/1/09. No report was prepared for 2008. Reports for 2007 and 2009 provided to Legislative Counsel in August 2010.	Many of the reporting requirements contained in this code section are to be performed by the State Controller and the LAO. The Department of Finance is responsible for reporting on unintended fiscal consequences mandated by the state that were not identified when the statute was enacted. The Legislature may wish to modify this reporting requirement to make it contingent upon when the state is actually making payments for mandates.
2	Data on monthly caseloads and expenditures for public social services programs supervised by State Department of Social Services	Never been requested and likely never will be since monthly caseload projections are made available to the Legislature biannually in January and May via the DSS local assistance subvention binder. Also, monthly expenditure data is available on the DSS website for a variety of social services programs.	This Report is only required upon request of the Legislature.
3	Use of specified vehicle license fee - Written determination of whether any of the moneys derived from fees collected pursuant to subdivision (a) of this section are being allocated by the state for any purpose not authorized by subdivision (b) of this section	We interpret the language to require a report only if we determine that the VLF money has been allocated in a way that is contrary to this section of the law. The allocation is in compliance with law thus no report has been made. It is extremely unlikely that such a misallocation will be made since it would require a change in statute.	Under this requirement, the Department of Finance would be responsible for reporting any misuse of Vehicle License Fee (VLF) revenue, which is already prohibited under existing law.
4	Article XIX B audit report - Audit report examining expenditures made pursuant to the allocations authorized under Article XIX B of the California Constitution	Obsolete. SCO has always done the Prop 42 audits, not DOF. There no longer is any such funding pursuant to the transportation swap bill, Ch. 6/2010 x8	This audit requirement of Proposition 42 is obsolete.
5	Race to the Top funds - Copy of a single high-quality plan or multiple plans developed to submit as part of an application for federal Race to the Top funds, authorized under the federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009	Plan submitted on 1/14/10; located at the following website: http://www.caracetohtop.org/cs/rttt/print/htdocs/home.htm (Refer to the "Race to the Top" tab, Phase 1 application and appendices.) Report provided to Legislative Counsel, August 2010.	This "Race to the Top" report was submitted to the Legislature in January 2010.
6	Statewide evaluation of the doctoral programs in education implemented in the California State University system	The CSU, LAO, and Finance jointly prepared this report and submitted it on 1/21/11.	This one-time report was completed and submitted in January 2011.